

# SHOULD WE BAN SMACK

*Check out our essay example on Should We Ban Smack to start writing!.*

In a sample of 11, American children; corporal punishment predicted increases in child behavior problems from age 5 to age 8 equally across white, black and Asian-American groups. For many children, occasional displays of temper by a parent, sometimes accompanied by a light slap or uncomfortably firm hold, are part of life. I was smacked as a child and remember feeling scared. The fact is, most parents who smack their kids do so in proportionate fashion, and only in those early years before a conversation is possible. It always taught me a lesson when words failed. So, how could I ever justify raising my own hand to him, for any reason? Let me be clear: to defend the occasional smack in extremis is not to advocate for institutionalised corporal punishment. The people from whom children really need protection will continue with their neglectful and abusive actions. In , two researchers in America analyse a wide range of American studies stated. And they are supporting the campaign to change the law now. In addition, making smacking illegal would have a significant symbolic effect. It says to them it is OK to smack someone if you feel annoyed. But it should not be banned. Children learn from adults. I was smacked for most of my early years. I lean towards a ban on smacking - although there may be context where it is acceptable. In reality this is not always the case as countries want to make speculative gain. Being able to smack when required supports the authority and confidence of many parents who are not naturally good in establishing their authority by other means. If children think it is ok to hit, then violence keeps going through the next generations. It is not for the state to regulate smacking, any more whether parents should send their children to violin lessons. So should we have a law against smacking children? It may stop a child from misbehaving in the short term, but it also teaches them that it is acceptable to vent one's anger through violence. But does smacking actually work? The government seems to share this common sense philosophy of "spare the rod and spoil the child": Tony Blair and David Blunkett have both admitted smacking their children. Moreover, a lot of evidences show that smacking does not only harm children physically, but also links to mental disorders, which it can cause long-term psychological impact on children. What do I do? Advertisement As well as concerns that smacking promotes violence, campaigners say it makes parental child abuse more likely. Sometimes when parents smack it is because they have lost control. It was usually because I had been physically or verbally violent, cheeky, arrogant, got in trouble at school or swore at someone. You don't have to be nasty, just in charge. When my children are driving me to the brink, I say, "If you don't stop that now, I'll cancel Christmas. We create laws against sexual and physical abuse and smoking in cars with children. Durrant believes the real reason why hitting children is still allowed is that they lack political representatives. Would you support a smacking ban? If they have hurt someone, they should apologise and then be encouraged to play positively with that sibling or friend. Would you support a ban on smacking? The analysis found that thirteen-year-olds from places with full smacking bans were less likely to get into frequent fights as those from nations where it is allowed everywhere " in boys, who fought the most, the rates for frequent fighting were 8.